

Annex H

OBJECTIONS / COMMENTS TO ADVERTISED TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDER

Scheme:	RESIDENTS PARKING ORDER 2017 – ZONE F		
Date Advertised:	19th October 2016 – 9th November 2016	No. of Objections / Comments Received:	3

Name	Summary of Objection / Comment	Officers Comments	Decision Abandon/Modify /Proceed as advertised.
X XXXXXX XX Faircross Bracknell	<p>Objects as they believe it is disgraceful that residents are charged to park near their properties purely for monetary gain.</p> <p>States that they believe that for the past 20-30 years the Council have failed to address the parking problems in the Town. The consultation letter states how much the scheme costs but did not state how much had been raised from tickets issued. Is it the case that because zones A and B have been withdrawn that the other zones are having to bear the cost.</p> <p>Questions why should they have to pay for relatives or friends to visit. Do the members of the Executive have to pay to have people visit them?</p> <p>The current Council seem hell bent on charging for everything. We pay Council tax so why do we have to pay to park outside our house. Why don't all residents in Bracknell have to pay to park outside their homes/</p>	<p>The original charging regime set out within the rules of the scheme does not meet the operating costs incurred by the Council. There is currently a shortfall in funding and to continue to operate a subsidised scheme is not sustainable in the current economic climate.</p> <p>Consideration has been given to all the possible means of balancing the shortfall in income generated by the Residents Parking Scheme to make the scheme self-funding. The only realistic means to make the scheme self-funding is to charge for permits in accordance with the charges proposed. To this end the charging regime as advertised is essential for the resident parking scheme to continue.</p>	
XX XXXXXX Leverkusen Road	<p>They object to the introduction of such a scheme in Bracknell</p> <p>They currently pay Council tax and road tax and believe the thought of having to pay to park on their street is nothing short of theft.</p> <p>They believe that the results of the consultation show the majority of the residents in Bracknell are against the scheme</p> <p>They say the scheme was introduced to protect residents from road side parking associated with the town centre. They have not seen any additional parking associated with the town centre and so the original assumption is incorrect. Therefore they should not have to pay for the permits.</p> <p>They object as the proposals discriminate against those in these streets</p>	<p>The original charging regime set out within the rules of the scheme does not meet the operating costs incurred by the Council. There is currently a shortfall in funding and to continue to operate a subsidised scheme is not sustainable in the current economic climate.</p> <p>Consideration has been given to all the possible means of balancing the shortfall in income generated by the Residents Parking Scheme to make the scheme self-funding. The only realistic means to make the scheme self-funding is to charge for permits in accordance with the charges proposed. To this end the charging regime as advertised is essential for the resident parking scheme to continue.</p>	

	<p>that have to use it, as oppose to other Bracknell Residents that can still park on street for free.</p> <p>They say that 70% of Leverkusen Road residents are against this proposal as there is no parking issue to be protected from.</p> <p>They state that they can see why the Council like the proposals as it will create jobs paid for by the scheme.</p> <p>They cannot afford the charges and ask the Council to abandon the scheme</p>		
<p>XXX XXXXXX X Leverkusen Road</p>	<p>They object to having to pay for the parking permits.</p> <p>The objector explains that parking in Leverkusen Road is difficult as it is very narrow. They say charging for parking is not fair.</p>	<p>The original charging regime set out within the rules of the scheme does not meet the operating costs incurred by the Council. There is currently a shortfall in funding and to continue to operate a subsidised scheme is not sustainable in the current economic climate.</p> <p>Consideration has been given to all the possible means of balancing the shortfall in income generated by the Residents Parking Scheme to make the scheme self-funding. The only realistic means to make the scheme self-funding is to charge for permits in accordance with the charges proposed. To this end the charging regime as advertised is essential for the resident parking scheme to continue.</p>	

Local Member Comments on Consultation responses:

Councillor D Hamilton- No further comments received

Councillor M Skinner – No further comments received